



**U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Applicant	Attorney Docket Number
09/288,344	04/08/99	Seidman	P-PM3474

Examiner
L. E. Crane

Art Unit	Paper No.
1623	19

DATE MAILED: n/a

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

- (1) Ms. Deborah L. Cadena (3) --
(2) Examiner L. E. Crane (4) --

Date of Interview: 04/24/01

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy given to) ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: See attachment.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some of all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: See page 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: See page 2.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: See page 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would be allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 713.04) If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, the completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.
PTOL-413 (amended 03/13/01)

09/288,344 - P. N. 19 COPY FOR ☒ File ☐ Applicant Continued on next page(s) -->>

Serial No. 09/288,344

2

Art Unit 1623

INTERVIEW SUMMARY(cont.)

Claims discussed: All remaining of record, but none specifically.

Identification of prior art discussed: All prior art of record generally, but no reference in particular.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: Applicant requested an interview to discuss the Office action of record 01/02/01. Examiner opened the interview with the comment that it was unclear that in light of the disclosure that any patentable subject matter could be parsed from the existing claims, and emphasized that the term "drug" as presently found in the claims would certainly not be acceptable language. Applicant suggested the possibility that the term "drug" might be expanded to include a Markush group of compounds. Examiner indicated that until specifically amended claims were presented further comment would not be possible. Applicant indicated an expectation that a written response would be forthcoming within a week or two.